

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/735,676		12/16/2003	Katsuhiko Miyazaki	117808	2839		
25944	7590	06/24/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
OLIFF & I		OGE, PLC	CHANG, JOSEPH				
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•	,			2817			
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					_				
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			676	MIYAZAKI ET AL.	(Choo				
			er	Art Unit					
		Joseph	_	2817					
: Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communi Reply	cation appears on t	he cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addres	SS				
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI in softime may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commit it is specified above is less than thirty (30 riod for reply specified above, the maximum state or reply within the set or extended period for reply y received by the Office later than three months at attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of unication. of days, a reply within the structory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a re tatutory minimum of thirty will expire SIX (6) MONT polication to become AB/	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commu	unication.				
Status	, ,,								
1)⊠ R	esnonsive to communication(s) file	d on 08 June 2005							
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 June 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)□ Si	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims								
4a 5)□ CI 6)⊠ CI 7)⊠ CI	Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to.								
Application	Papers								
10)⊠ Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the e drawing(s) filed on 16 December oplicant may not request that any object oplacement drawing sheet(s) including e oath or declaration is objected to	<u>2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ tion to the drawing(s) the correction is requ) be held in abeyand lired if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).				
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)					•				
	References Cited (PTO-892)			ummary (PTO-413)					
3) 因 Informati	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or p(s)/Mail Date 12/16/03&6/8/04.	10-948) PTO/SB/08)		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152 	2)				

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Claims 1, 2 and 10 drawn to species 2, Figures 3-7 filed on 6/8/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground that the search and examination of the entire application could be made without serious burden. This is not found persuasive because the non-obvious variants between each of the species present a burden in both search and examination. Claims 3-9, 11 and 12 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement filed on 6/8/05.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kondo et al., US Patent No. 5,949,294.

Regarding Claim 1, Kondo et al. discloses in Figures 1-10 a piezoelectric oscillator, comprising:

Art Unit: 2817

a first package housing (upper portion) a piezoelectric resonator element (6) therein and having external terminal portions (11a-d) connected to excitation electrodes of the piezoelectric resonator element (see also Fig.10); and a second package housing (lower portion) an oscillating circuit element (2) constituting an oscillating circuit, the first package being superposed on and fixed to the second package, in the second package, the oscillating circuit element (2), connected to a lead frame (13) and being molded out of resin, and connection terminal portions (14a-d) and mounting terminals (16a-n) formed out of the lead frame being exposed at the second package, and the external terminal portions of the first package being exposed at side surfaces of the first package (see Figure 2), and the external terminal portions (11a-d) and the connection terminal portions (14a-d) of the second package being electrically connected to each other by a conductive material (A, col. 3, line 23).

Regarding Claim 2, Figures shows material-removed portions (10) being formed in peripheral edge portions of the first package, and the material-removed portions being provided with the external terminal portions (11a-d)

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the best prior art of record, Kondo et al., taken alone or in combination of other

Application/Control Number: 10/735,676 Page 4

Art Unit: 2817

references, does not teach or fairly suggest specific structure of the second package as set forth in the claim.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hatanaka discloses crystal oscillator packages.

Harima discloses a surface-mount crystal oscillator.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Chang whose telephone number is 571 272-1759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 0700-1730.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph Chang Patent Examiner Art Unit 2817